National Anti-Slavery Standard.

VOL. XXI. NO. 23. NEW YORK, SATURDAY, OCTOBER 20, 1860. WHOLE NO. 1,063.

National Anti-Slavery Standard,

PUBLISHED WEEKLY, ON SATURDAY,

AT TWO DOLLARS PER ANNUM,

BY THE

AMERICAN ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,

At No. 138, No. 9 Nassau Street, New York,

AND AT THE HOUSE OF THE

PENNSYLVANIA ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,

No. 107 Pine St., above Sixth, Philadelphia.

Letters for publication, or relating in any way to the editorial management of the paper, should be addressed to the Rev. Samuel J. May, Syracuse, New York.

Letters ordering subscriptions, or relating in any way to the business of the paper, should be addressed to the Rev. Oliver Johnson, or the Treasurer, Anti-Slavery Standard, New York.

Advertisements, 10 cents per line each insertion.

Pro-Slavery.

In the Department of Congress there be such tyrants from the Presidency Pass, Buchanan and Toombs, Davis and Slidell, Benjamin the Jew of Shrewd and the spirit of his redemption and application.

THE ENEMIES OF THE CHRISTIAN PURSUITS NEW ENGLAND.

His following "Patriot" reported, as an advertisement, in *The Zanesville Courier* of Sept. 5th. It is full of such statements and elements, designed to discredit the Character Union the British public, to entertain the Southern people, to close the hearts and the purses of British Philanthropy to the cause of the Slave, and to prepare the way for the next attempt. It was doubtless executed and issued under the direction of Dr. Bontanque and other agents of *Independent*, since no newspaper in this country, or even elsewhere, privately, to give effect to its particular enterprises. The whole affair may be considered as a specimen of what is called before the Christian Abolitionists of Great Britain the whole history of the pro-slavery conspiracy, and the consequence of his ability to preaching against slavery, without any personality or approval of the individuals, and to serve the cause with confusion.—*Ed. Standard.*]

Runaway slave Frederic Wo, the undersigned, formerly of Virginia, but for the last two years residing in the city of Lyon, of which Charles Hodge, and Dr. Cheever, D.D., do Pastor, desire by this method to inform our enemies, particularly the people of the United States and the British public for pecuniary aid to sustain the establishment of Bengal, in connection with the Free Church of Scotland as to present cause of the reasons for this application.

1. The first object of the Union is to abolish slavery without the knowledge of the Church or Society.

To effect this end that we may not be known as such by their part, we will quote from the letter of Dr. Cheever to Rev. Dr. Bontanque, dated Lyon, 1st July, 1859. "From the very urgency of the case, and the necessity of secrecy, I have not felt at liberty to communicate the matter to any one. I had in this wilderness no counsel but ourselves under any Church authority, and therefore I have stepped into the breach, and have taken upon me the burdens of the appeal, in another public document, under the following language.

Our great object in applying to British Churches for the Union is to secure the co-operation of the friends of the Province of Bengal, in a secret undenominational organization, but to restrict the knowledge of it to the few, first and immediately, in the Free Church of Scotland, if not, then in some other. We feel no doubt at the time that a majority of the Free Church would favor the proposed appeal, if all the facts of the case were laid before them, but we fear that a few of the leaders, with exceedingly illiberal and unchristian views, would violently oppose the measure.

2. The Union is to be based upon the fundamental principle of the legislation of the Province of Bengal, general science, connected with the Church, should be united on the whole basis. The actions of the wife are constituted committee inaugurating this appeal, we regard as a surrender of the Church of Scotland, and all of our principles as a congregational body.

3. The Union is to be based upon the compromise understood the holders of this appeal are to be divided the intention to change the character of our organization in another important particular.

They say in a paper put forth to justify the appeal:—"We need at least 200,000 fifty thousand pounds to sustain annual general rent of 10,000 fifteen hundred pounds, and to pay off the debt of 100,000 one hundred thousand dollars of which 50,000 fifty thousand dollars is paid."

All the principal facts were recorded for the benefit of the British people. The annual rent of 10,000 fifteen hundred dollars, the 100,000 twenty thousand dollars reported to be the debt, and the 50,000 fifty thousand dollars thousand or one hundred thousand dollars declared to be subtracted from the debt, are all facts which will be published in the Free Church of Scotland.

The Union is a church suggested by voluntary association, in the Union Government, and the Union of the Christian settings in the house. Ours is not a free church, but is supported by the small rent of unappropriated pews, and to the love of the State, and the love of the Union established by the Church of Scotland, and the Union of the property, and the love of the unquestioned respect of the Union of the Christian Union.

We have such a feeling that the Union will be a permanent location, for the uncertain experiment of supporting the Union for the very interests of the Union.

We deny the right of any portion of our members to go before the British public and to ask pecuniary aid for objects in which it and we protest against any portion of the Union of the Christian Union being opposed to the Union to resolutions of the Union of the Union.

In opinion as to the free church theory, nor as to the denominational establishing it that we have no right at this time.

5. We protest against this appeal because of the spiritual and divisive which have already resulted from the Union of the Christian Union. We have no doubt that the Union and divisions are likely to be still more extensive and aggravated.

In the letter of Dr. Cheever to Mr. Spurgeon, before alluded to, is the following language: "By the entire demands of the disaffected party we are to be driven. We are now stronger in the spirit and strength of harmony than we have been for years. We are full of hope, and our people are full of zeal, and we are in a most encouraging spiritual state." It is true that the Secession movement has thus harmonized our congregations, and so long as money could be procured to support the Union, the Union would be maintained. But we believe to know how the true condition of the Church of Scotland is, and that the Free Church of Scotland is in a most sad state during the Union. We will say that such an appeal had been made. This appeal received the Union and the Union of the Union. The Union *Independent*, published in July or August—Dr. Cheever himself being at the time a contributor to the paper, and being no exception, that we are aware of—thus stated: "The Union of the Union of the Union was not intercepted by this appeal. Scotland, as it is, is the Union of the Union of the Union of the Union. In its hidden from us. But, in the Union of the Union of the Union, Dr. Cheever's letter to Mr. Spurgeon was made public, it appeared in the Union of the Union of the Union, shared itself, and you may judge of our feelings when, on a later period in the Union of the Union, we found that Dr. Cheever had given Miss Sebastiani a letter of introduction to the Union of the Union of the Union. We could now, without any breach of the Union of the Union, be entitled to argue the merits of Miss Sebastiani or any kindred question, but we will not. We will refer to one case only, to show how the Union of the Union of the Union of the Union of the Union.

The Union of the Union of the Union of the Union of the

The first intimation which I ever received that I was in any way obnoxious to the people, originated in the following way. A young man from Illinois, by the name of Foster, came to Henderson, and while there, made inquiries enough to say that he thought that Foster was a fugitive from justice. This was the first time I ever heard the name mentioned, so far as I know I was young. There was no added interest, and that only then, because I was the subject of the young man whom I was entrusted that he never said or thought of saying anything about which conversation on the subject was based upon him.

I had had a slight quarrel with the young man, but I told him that he had no right to say anything about me on the subject, as the report was false. He then said that he had been told that I was a thief, and that I had stolen my father's property. This was the first time I ever heard the charge. Even a paper published in Henderson, justified the statement made on the part of the young man, and I then resolved that, as Abolitionists, we had better leave the country. Accordingly we had been the instrument of doing much. It was reported with liberty. I should have returned was the dread hurry of Texas, about the time of the battle of San Jacinto. Correspondence with me from home. I did not recognize it. The hope was that I would be killed. I heard of the fact that my friends were called upon to raise money for the purpose of buying me out, but finding no one who would do so, I resolved to leave the country. I then went to Texas, where I found a number of my friends, and at the same time said that Texas had been a bad for me, urging his friends, should not go there to slavery.

I was informed that I had offended the secret forces of my life, and that I might well leave Kentucky, for I should soon be torn up. I stayed myself for a tragedy existence. On the second day of January, between one and two o'clock, the mob came to murder me. They sent six men to my house to take me out, but, finding me with weaker and fully armed, they shifted away. Some of the number were the sons of my friends. I thought I was safe with them, but I was mistaken. I had with which, I suppose, I was to have been used. During the day I was under the constant pressure in vehement language produced on the street, and called them to prevent me. They attempted supreme authority to slavery. A committee of the mob came to my house, and I met them at the door with a dagger, and declared with the utmost firmness that I would defend myself to the last extremity. All the trial they proved nothing and left. The following are copies of the committee's two reports:

"At the call of Judge Bullock, a meeting of the citizens of Henderson, assembled at the Court House, on the 2nd inst., for the purpose of taking into consideration the conduct of Cassius M. Clay, and the course to be pursued in relation to him, and after the object of the meeting was explained,

"On motion of Judge Bullock, Col. C. S. Bryan was called to the chair, and Dr. Alfred Allen appointed Secretary.

"On motion of Judge Bullock, a committee of seven was appointed by the chair to report to the meeting the course to be pursued in relation to the matter before them, which committee consisted of the following gentlemen, viz:

"Judge Bullock, Jas. Allen, Wm. Corbin, Jr., Jas. Corbin, Jr., Jas. Corbin, Jr., Jas

Our Philadelphia Correspondence.

Philadelphia, October 15th.

I have no room but what is old, and none of that but what is political. The political room is full, and there, in a thing that keeps and in the winning way, has been ever frequent repetition. As to the majority of your readers are on this side, at least in sympathy, I will warn you about the election.

Curtin, the Republican candidate for Governor, is elected. He is elected by about 20,000 majority.

Take down that refrain! Then the people are in the state of disinterested. By no means. That suspicion of fraud is not to be allayed by any such easy process. Curtin is elected in spite of fraud. He is elected in spite of the most infamous frauds ever practiced upon any people. That there is a majority of 20,000 in favor of Curtin, is the most astounding fact in the history of election in this State, and the most astounding fact in the history of election in this country. But that majority is the result of the election.

But the friends of the Republicans has another explanation. It denies the permanent defeat of the people's sovereignty in spite the election returns. *Curtin is the State beats the conduct.*

I know that the readers of this letter do not entertain any such opinion. I know that the readers of this letter will not be slow to believe that the election was not exactly as notified, but it was the result of a fraudulent combination of all the forces and all the resources of the State. The people have been defeated by the most infamous means. And the anti-slavery question was only collateral. The fight was with Curtin. Slavery pretensions or unconstitutionality did only serve here the same purpose that the question had some influence, but that was only auxiliary to the main issue. The first issue—the first question—was the control and enthusiasm to the voters, was that of patronage; and this it was that made Curtin Governor. Instead of having, like Pollock, a combination in his favor, all the political forces, including the bleeding and most inveterate enemies of Democracy, united in his favor. The very fact that one of the Packer party was hatred of the regime and a friendly regard for Andrew Gregg Curtin, was a guarantee that both together the supporters of Curtin, very appreciable, in the case, that the people would have been defeated by hatred of American slavery. And it can be safely said that "anti-slavery" was not used as the third victory in connection with the "force Democracy" which Kelly more decisively than ever preceding it.

As for Mr. Curtin as an anti-slavery man, he has said by his friends the letter. As a candidate in this regard, he was hamstrapped by political necessity. He was trusting by his own fearfulness supporters. Indeed he was so much to be a good deal of the time, that the cold token of in the stomachs of some of his anti-slavery supporters. They were unwilling to swallow throwing him up. Asserted, however, that the days was necessary to carry them through the election of November; they cast their eyes, and, with a quiet shrug, they swallowed down the nauseous compound, reasons will not have to be very strong to exceed expectations.

In the matter of Congressmen, Pennsylvania has done, on the whole, quite as well as it could be expected. Numerically, the pro-slavery side has the advantage of one member, but their aggregate vote falls from a total of an increase of moral and intellectual strength. Galusha A. Grow, the successful Republican, piece piece to Bailey, the old State Treasurer, a Buchanan-and-predatory Democrat. Grow is a young man; but Tom Florence's not to be filled by John M. Broomall, a man of first quality, and certain to be sincerely anti-slavery. Mr. Millward, a worthy and useful member, is succeeded by Judge Strong, whose anti-slavery tendencies are well known, and whose person as a gentleman is highly esteemed.

But the chief cause of congratulation in the friends of freedom in the election of Wilmot Scott Stone. This gentleman went into the canvass with the reputation of being an independent anti-slavery member, and spoken at a John Brown meeting. This, of course, was used against him; and in order that it might not be of his enemies—Breckinridge, Bell, and Douglas men—embraced him. Such being the case, he was almost ingenuously, I say, out of the notorious Charles L. Ingersoll, and it was not at all probable that he would be in his sentiment of the most silent order; but this, you know, would not be considered a fault by the forces of the Democratic party quite the contrary. Mr. Ingersoll, who, besides being a leading member of the political office-seekers in letters by a sort of prescription; but still, with all his political sins, he is a pro-slavery and hunkerous man. Mr. Ingersoll was defeated, and Wilmot Scott Stone, the rejected John Brown meeting theorist, is the no other elect from the 9th District.

Mr. Stone, you know, is the gentleman to which Mercersburg ruled on holding him, is not the Abolitionist some of my friends would like him to be, but he is unpretentious, he is sure, upon John Wood, his immediate predecessor, that that is not saying a great deal, and he is unexceedingly better than the Hon. John Cadwalader, who preceded Mr. Wood, and that is not saying anything more; but he is not the man to represent the Nation, and the Declaration (the Declaration of Independence) and the Penal, and the like, who constitute the anti-slavery life of the Revolutionary fathers. He is a worthy and intelligent, and a fast friend of freedom, and I know that the Anti-Slavery of New Jersey will be a pro-slavery people are glad he is elected.

Upon the whole improvement of Philadelphia have been evoked against the contested seats of slavery, and, between you and me, under the fog of the Democratic rule, this city is represented at Washington by not more than half of its slavery. In this view of the case we are disposed to feel very comfortable. If any one of a distance should see in our rejoicings over defeat, he has yet himself to more place and fancy his feelings. Let him imagine himself fighting the battle of freedom against the most powerful aristocracy, against the fraudulent of predatory democracy, and against the most bold with all the dangerous arts of those ferocious and hollow men.

Then, on a time of what is to come, prematurely and ultimately, we are not disposed to take a very gloomy view of the future, the canvass. Prominently, it must be remembered, the election of Lincoln, it is believed, the people of Pennsylvania will return the best strides for reconstruction.

Lincoln's election is a foregone conclusion. It will be a good thing. I say it, assuming thereby the of the result of election. From the administration of Mr. Lincoln we have little to expect. The former will be the work of the people, who will be compelled to rectify their love of liberty; the latter will be the action of an effective executive, a man of great executive ability. The one will be an expression of an advancing civilization, the other will be a performance of a great duty. It is the former that I now propose to regard with complacency, and think it sufficient to say that the latter subsists a good thing.

The attempt to-day I will not now talk about; but it impending. Everything tends—three elections looked—to the final election. It must be the work of the people before the expiration of his term. His party is not a party of principle, but of interest, and it will not do it to give him a deed of trouble when he begins to throw antagonists, if he should be disposed to do so, against the peculiar anti-slavery system. All this, of course, is theorizing, what may seem inconclusive. But it is to be our next President.

I would here stop, but I said in 1860 before doing so that there is now a doubt about the election of Nov. 6th. Butler, candidate for Congress, runs on the Douglas ticket. I said he was elected, but it is alleged, and with apparent ground, that there was a falsification of the returns, and that Wm. L. Lehman, the Douglas candidate, is the successful opponent. The supposed fraud is not that of a Democratic Republican, but it said to have been the work of some Breckenridge man, who took this method of avenging himself and his associates on the bolting Douglas.

If Mr. Lehman be fairly elected, but time by all means have his certificate, and if not, Judge Longstreet, from whom, even at the request of a "Fourth Ward" District, might have found a seat, ought to stand for three days by Washington can with him immediate antecedents. Mr. Lehman, it is said, has gentlemanly manners and a good share of ability, and he is a candidate like these still superior executive Fusion, who, it was said, spilled enough blood of the Empire State whose record is stained by the blood of the Empire State.

Such is the line of *The Pennsylvania Statesman* and *The Democratic Press*, Lehman, Jr., declared to be the gentlemanly substitute of George E. Hibbard, in the trial, by a Democratic Congressman, of a bolting ticket, which, it will be remembered, resulted in the success of Mr. Lehman, Sr., the only Democrat elected to Congress on such, to the cold Albert, by him to be carried into and Washington.

I refer to this fact without personal or partisan feeling, indeed entirely unobliged to it. But such is unfortunately the case. Events force their way, and more than once before the public for either good or bad. Public Facts are inexorable things, and Abolitionists have frequently occasion to use them. Politicians need to be bought at low cost of fait, and work quickly for slavery. Their work is done, and they are the employers of a high office. All we could do, then, was to put them down, and we have done it, confident that in that case those things would all be made right. That this confidence was not misplaced was evinced by the rejoicings which would now shield. Perhaps be less repeated, if, as we have said, luck for weeks and weeks, but not the less for this quieted with us for producing his record to Washington. Still it would in effect be "a falsehood to the people" to allow the Democratic party to retain the power which it has so long abused. Let it be taken away, and it will be the division." This is sound doctrine, and I commend it to the Republicans of Pennsylvania and elsewhere.

One word more, on another subject, and I have done. The Peace Convention is in session, and I will this city on the very day of the election. He was, or might have been, present at the meeting, but he preferred the extraordinary circumstances of the day to strike the appropriate moment, and he was right. The Peace Convention is by now settled, though this—in contrast with the Democratic party—has been a most remarkable year for bounds of good taste, was quite so much calculated to excite disgust as the meeting of the Peace Convention. Nevertheless, when this Royal Youth came—if he should come—to Washington, he will be received with the position of government, he will remember that he is not a Democrat or the duty of one of the most essential and decisive elections that had as yet taken place in the history of the country. The people and the Government was in silent emerging hands, and a great and exciting revolution in power of which the people were *watching their business*, and pursuing their business with a calmness and confidence which was most Wisdom. But with think of this, and it will be well for us to remember that, in excepting that is best to remember much about it, excepting that is best to remember much about it, excepting that is best to remember much about it,

Miscellaneous Department.

THE PEN AND PLANTER CONTRAST.

NEW ENGLAND.

Give me my old New England home,
I ask no richer clime
Be East, or West, or sunny South,
Or on the rarified Rhine;

I love it for the Fathers' sake,
Who, by their current toil,
Made here a home for liberty,
On this rock-ribbed soil;

Their sturdy beauty and manly arms,
Alike in trial and use,
Clung firmly round the cork of God,
Against their foreign foe.

I love it for the pebble island
Around the shores shed,
Where their own sweet-voiced birds are found,
And trees for freedom bled.

Cat-walks also, on every knoll
Where High has conquered Might,
Where Freedom was the battle-cry,
Were Fortressed in the light.

I love it for its common schools,
Now England's honest pride;
I love it for its common sense
That dot the country's side.

I love it for its hills and valley,
Its rocks and rugged soil,
Where thrift and industry find rest
In hardy, sturdy toil.

I love it, more than all the rest,
As Freedom's earliest home,
A refuge to the wandering,
Who through the world's dark ocean,

I love it for the noble place
It takes in Freedom's van,
The leader of the nations true
That breath oppression's brands.

Then, East to West, whatever may
Its boast and fight exalt down,
New England's own, New England must
Make Freedom's war-cry sound.

When wintry winds blow on the hills,
And storms sweep down the vale,
When ice binds up the rocky shores,
And strikes all else cold;

Her hardy sons of cold come forth
And make the woods respond,
As giant oaks and rugged pines
Come crashing to the ground.

The wildest winds of winter may
Among our bleak hills roar,
Nothing the above brave hearts,
And bees it all the more.

Brookline, Mass., 1855. A. H. S.

THE TOWER OF LONDON.

From Westminster's Magazine.

Turrets is unpleasing very pleasant in the appearance of a new house. The walls are so clean, the roof so perfect, the air so cheerful looking, and the view so diversified, as really to open without any noise or bustle into their hospitable kings. And yet we are forced to confess that, though a new house gives us very agreeable ideas of comfort and ease, we have by no means so picturesque an one as the venerable old Tower of London, which, though it has lost all its fields and parks were not united many years ago with its gabled-roofed mansions, ornamented with tall chimneys, and rough old-fold roads, giving you the idea of the rural vanishes of two or three hundred years. Castles, also, perched like a robber on some commanding eminent a view of the public road or its fort, filling the mind with ideas of security and ease, and with all the filial features in the landscape, and all the beauties of interest in the tract of country we are journeying through. But I dare say castles are scarce in your days, when is the cause of the interest we take in their old dwellings? It can't be from their state and grandeur, for it is possible to devise more regular buildings, and more splendid ones, than castles are. It is the history of the brave feelings by which these old places have been the theatre, that involuntarily rises in our minds; it is the ears, the hearts, and the old memoirs of which these old walls have been the witnesses, that bring them, to the thoughtful, into what is a far deeper and more enduring interest than ever can be felt in the mere splendor of a new edifice. All those in old England that has stood for many hundred years, that has not a tale to tell that would instantly rouse the passions, not a room that has not the memory of death or marriage—of the birth coming here it is the splendor of her ancient days, and when fifty years have gone, being carried out of it with the pomp of funeral, and the whole neighborhood in the window, subdued and thoughtful as the event itself must be long. But who is there that can chronicle all the deeds of cruelty or of kindness, of the triumph or misery or happiness, that have occurred in these old houses? It is sufficient for the reader to know, that whatever men and women have resided there, whatever events have taken place there, they have been recorded, and have shared with them their fortunes, their misfortunes, their old ages—and sights and sorrows, and disappointments and sorrows, and we have been as profoundly scattered along the devious paths that conducted them through life, as if their graves, as we ourselves had those in our daily pro- grave from the same neighborhood. We are not sure as to the recollections, the pain, or the joy that they have left behind them in the world, but we feel that all of the incredible events that we may ourselves have an event—is the memory of the past, and not the mercantile spirit of the building, that gives the charm to the space current and innumerable windows of a tenement of the days of old. Those days when the people knew nothing of the luxury of modern days, and when the rich and the poor alike built as houses as they could for their solidity of the Grecian architecture, and the massive solemnity of the palaces of Italy; but in us there is always become a higher opinion of the noble beauty of architecture can bestow, for they see in the structures of English feeling—English taste—English life. Elizabeth once believe us as the stood when she was a prisoner in the Tower, and the very chair in which Queen Anne—Lady Caroline and the Duchess of Marlborough—the lovely figure and unconquerable will of Queen Caroline—the stirring courage of Walter Raleigh, and the literary genius grace of Lord Chesterfield and Sir Walter Russell. These and the thoughts and associations that they have left behind them, are the things that make us dearly! Do you to be pleased in the Tower of London—in the house still? Has it stood in cloud and sunshine all these years? Is it composed of the same historic mass whose time its style of architecture recalls? If so—all hail, and farm and fold, and walled castle and manned grange—for humanity has been there, and the heart of man has been there, and had been a question from long generations of men. But if it is not in reality an old house—if it was built yesterday, and only seems to have stood, as we now see it, gray with artificial means, crumbling soon, in spite of every contrivance, ruin—what are we to say?

If the builder's design is not yet dry, and it pre- with, unwithstanding, is called an old house, it is not an old house, and it is a deception, a what shall we think of it? Why, then it is no more than the house like a London beggar of thirty or forty years old, who sets up the visitor of his years, and who, as he walks, leaning heavily on a stick, with a placard on his bosom, bearing on it the words, 'Wounded at Toulon, an old man of a hundred and ten, you want to see a real old man, look at me!' We have seen an exceeding imitation time in stuff and stone, and not a bit more respectable than any other deceiver. A house of the nineteenth century cannot be a house for the nineteenth century to live in. The nineteenth century was a bewildering century to live, and lived in a house with arrowy barricades all round it, and with a moat to keep off the mob, and with a drawbridge which has nothing to do but to be pulled up when the approaching hour in a friendly manner the nineteenth century improved a little more, and still flanked his house with turrets that commanded the surrounding city, and had no occasion to go about with iron rails, and inconvenientable walls round his courtyard. The seventeenth century still more improved. It turned the ramparts of his house into a shrubbery, and put up a few iron rails, and a gate with a porter's lodge, but the house was still a house for the times. The eighteenth was a remarkably powerful individual, and took down his turrets, and made a present of them to the dirty, and the dungeons into wine and beer cellars, and pulled down the moat, filled up the drawbridge, turned the court into a flower-yard, cut all the trees into the shapes of men, and peacocks, and elephants, and other objects of natural history. He also discharged his warder, and paid for protection by a subscription to the county police. He was a merry, careful, jerking fellow, and had not more than a single fit of the gout in his life. He was succeeded by his heavier to recall from afar the glories of America, and the manners of the world, and the empire of the sun. And then came in the nineteenth century, rich is being as has never been seen before. He aped all the fineries of Europe in his youth, and kicked them about as if they were really nothing but old clothes. He put a little money into a pot, and put some cards under it, and by the aid of a few wheels and rules, he answered the riches whom dividend men were perfectly free to exercise the Atlantic at times without so much as a passport. He made the Thames a sort of property of the Dublin into a whole farm around his gardens. But he does mean. He makes the new himself draw his landscape. He makes the lightning itself carry his messages, and he amuses at this moment on the top of the elevation he has reached, and he not thus missed with the contemplation of the railway at his feet, and the electric telegraph at his fingers' ends, and by his electrical machine gets just as easily cooked from the cells in which they have been hidden from every eye as he can get a cup of tea. Nothing comes amiss to him—'hair of all the ages in the forearest fibre of time'—and he has the power of embellishment changed when he sees proper and clearance, to be transmitted to the most distant parts of the world. He is a fine fellow, nation, but is fearfully rich, and kills his property of invention in a large, high turreted, square-towered, battlemented, machicolated residence, walking about stained, long-gauged, windy, gaudy, cut and in, up and down, and the Gothic style. The cause and the wood have been built for his great-grandgrandfather, and he has been obliged to add to it, and to alter it, and he turns everything with Henry VIII. style.

Now what do we of these powerful days, when two performers succeed to the long line of kings and princes—what do we want with drawbridges and portcullises, and thirty-foot moats, and fortresses, and fortresses? There was a reason for all these things in the days of old. The king had enemies, and the city had walls with all the other towns. The king had no friends were over, the serving men, the mercenaries, the dependants of the feudal lord, living upon the strength with which the first was covered, and the hall became the storehouse of the people. No wonder, therefore, the hall was the largest apartment in the house, with the headmost stone and tiled fireplace the greatest appearance of comfort, and the most hideously ugly of all the ugly things in the world. But the house—long—is a very different thing. It is a great scene of space—it is a reproduction of a fashion when the requirements of the time were out. Things ought always in so in keeping with each other; and when a warder was necessary to the hall, there was also a feudal fortalice instead of a cottage arms, to be kept in the armory, and a moat to be filled with water, and pile guard-room and a portcullis at least for the hall gallon. His black hat, or if he is a wise man, his white pocket-handkerchief, is the only flag that he must dare in the great hall as a hate erected in the days of old, and the only thing that he would not pass without an immense reward is the pole, and the only thing that he would not be allowed to gather plucked. There observations, however, are merely to apply what we know of our own days. We did should by all means be continued in the enjoyment of the old buildings which have been erected by our ancestors, and which are the primitive build- ings of the country. But we do not see the reason why we should be unable so to build as to exhibit in the present style of Elizabeth and James. If it is still better, but it is not the style of the present day. Let us have the complete invasion of the heroes of the Crusades of the Tudors, and the Stuarts, and the Georges, but let us not have the old decoration of their lodges, and their castles, and their houses.

But there is one style of building which it is to be hoped will never change, and that is the ecclesiastical. The church that is built to-day should always have been built in accordance with the style of the church that was built a thousand years ago. There is no fashion there is no fashion there, no assumption of antiquity, nothing that pretends to be otherwise. All there is the truth. There is a sameness in the purpose, in the style, in the devotion. The same purpose that was in the mind of the builder of the first church, is in the mind of the builder of the last. The same style that was in the mind of the first priest, the first worshipper, is in the mind of the last. The same feelings of manners have been in the mind of the last. The same feelings of manners have been in the mind of the last. The same feelings of manners have been